



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,595		11/21/2000	Dan Kikinis	P1541D1	5336
24739	7590	06/24/2002			
CENTRAL	COAST	PATENT AGEN	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 18' AROMAS, (	-	)4	PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2152	12
				DATE MAILED: 06/24/2002	, –

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	09/718,595	KIKINIS, DAN					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	B. PRIETO	2152					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) B. Prieto (USPTO Ex.).	(3)						
(2) <u>D. Boys (Reg. No. 35,074)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 21 June 2002.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,805,763 Lawler et. al.</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
<ul> <li>i)    ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sechecked).</li> </ul>	parate record of the substanc	e of the interview(if box is					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	. (See MPEP Section 713.04) MONTH FROM THIS INTERV	). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A					

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 09/718,595

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested a telephonic interview, and faxed agenda including the issues/claims limitations to be discussed at the interview. Applicant indicated during interview that prior art does not teach claim limitation as recited, specifically, "a broadband receiver to receive, in real time, multimedia information including a displayable data stream constituting data including future programming and at least one command associated with a displayable indicia". Applicant sustains that based on the recitation: (1) the command must be associated with the displayable indicia at the the head end from whence the multimedia information is sent, otherwise it could not be part of the dispayable data stream, (2) what is patentable is that the displayable indicia comes to the set-top box as part of the program schedule and is already associated with commands, and (3) prior art nowhere teaches displayable indicia with commands and streaming same to the client end as part of the schedule. In response examiner indicated that in regards to points 1-2, given the broadness of the claim language points 1-2 are not what is claimed, and in regards to point 3, examiner discussed citations in the prior art of record for all of the features of the said language. However, Applicant sustains provided citation do not read on this claim limitation. Examiner further reconsidered prior arts teachings of "receiving displayable data stream including a program schedule information in realtime", "displayable data stream constituting data including future programming and at least one command associated with a displayable indicial and correlated passages of the prior art, however still finds Lawler's teachings reading on the claim language. In view this mutual disagreement, applicant indicated his intentions of filling a response to the Final office action including one or more 1.132 affidavits from persons with credentials to establish their skill and expertise in this art stating their opinions on these issues.

> MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Milet Gall